

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
2 KIRKE M. HASSON (61446)  
(kirke.hasson@pillsburylaw.com)  
3 SHARON L. O'GRADY (102356)  
(sharon.ogrady@pillsburylaw.com)  
4 CRAIG J. BRISTOL (187231)  
(craig.bristol@pillsburylaw.com)  
5 50 Fremont Street  
6 Post Office Box 7880  
San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
7 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 983-1200  
8  
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff, PCTEL, INC.  
10  
11  
12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 PCTEL, INC., a Delaware Corporation, } No. C 03-2474 MJJ  
14 Plaintiff, } E-filing  
15 vs. }  
16 AGERE SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware } **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**  
17 Corporation; and LUCENT } **ORDER TO REMOVE**  
18 TECHNOLOGIES INC., a Delaware } **INCORRECTLY FILED**  
19 Corporation, } **DOCUMENT**  
20 Defendants. } AND ORDER  
No Trial Date Set

21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff PCTEL, Inc. and  
22 Defendants AGERE SYSTEMS, INC. and LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., through  
23 their counsel of record, that pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rules 79-5  
24 and 7-11, a document e-filed with the Court on July 27, 2006, Docket Number 250, be  
25 removed from ECF.

26 The grounds for the stipulation are as follows: The document that was efiled is a  
27 confidential settlement agreement and attachments. The settlement agreement and certain  
28

1 of the attachments contain confidential information of the parties, were not intended to be  
2 filed with the court, and therefore constitute irrelevant private information. The parties  
3 intended only to file the stipulation for dismissal of this action, which was one of the  
4 attachments to the settlement agreement; instead, due to a clerical error, the entire  
5 settlement agreement and attachments was filed.

6 Dated: July 27, 2006.

7 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
8 KIRKE M. HASSON  
CRAIG J. BRISTOL  
SHARON L. O'GRADY  
9

10 By /s/ Sharon L. O'Grady  
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff, PCTEL, INC.  
12

13 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
JORDAN N. MALZ  
14

15 By /s/ Jordan N. Malz  
16 Attorneys for Defendants AGERE SYSTEMS,  
INC. and LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
17

18 Pursuant to General Order No. 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the  
19 filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Defendants Agere Systems, Inc.  
and Lucent Technologies, Inc.  
20

21 By /s/ Sharon L. O'Grady  
Sharon L. O'Grady  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiff, PCTEL, INC.  
23

**ORDER**

24 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED.  
25

26 Dated: 7/28/2006

27   
The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins  
United States District Court Judge  
28